

where applicable. Since NFM did not report customs duty for U.S. sales which were delivered to customers' premises, the Department used the Customs duty rate applicable for this merchandise.

We adjusted USP for taxes in accordance with our practice as outlined in *Silicomanganese From Venezuela; Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less than Fair Value*, 59 F.R. 31204 (June 17, 1994) (*Silicomanganese*).

No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

#### Foreign Market Value (FMV)

Based on a comparison of the volume of home market and third-country sales, we determined that NFM's home market was viable in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.48. Therefore, in accordance with section 773 of the Act, we compared U.S. sales of subject merchandise with sales of such or similar merchandise in the home market. We calculated FMV using monthly weighted-average prices of sales of identical jacks.

FMV was based on packed, delivered home market prices, with deductions for discounts, foreign inland freight and insurance, home market credit expenses, rebates, and home market packing, in accordance with Section 773(a)(1) and (a)(4) of the Act. In accordance with section 773(a)(1) of the Act, we added U.S. packing, credit, warehousing, and commissions to FMV. We did not offset U.S. commissions by deducting home market indirect selling expenses up to the amount of U.S. commissions, as we normally do pursuant to section 353.56(b)(1) of the Department's regulations, because the respondent's claimed indirect selling expenses were calculated using unsupported estimates.

We included in FMV the amount of value-added taxes collected in the home market, in accordance with our practice as outlined in *Silicomanganese*. No other adjustments were claimed or allowed.

#### Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of our comparison of USP to FMV, we preliminarily determine that the following dumping margins exist for the POR:

Review period	Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
9/1/93–8/31/94 .....	NFM .....	28.49
	Seeburn ...	* 28.35

\*No shipments or sales subject to this review; because this firm has never been reviewed, rate is the all others rate explained in (4) below.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing will be held 44 days after the date of publication or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days of the publication date of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish a notice of the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analyses of issues raised in any such case briefs or at a hearing.

The following deposit requirements shall be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, and will remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review:

- (1) The cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies shall be the rates established in the final results of this review;
- (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be their previously established company-specific rate published for the most recent period;
- (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, prior reviews, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate shall be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise;
- (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the "all others" rate established in the first review conducted by the Department in which an "all others" rate was established, as discussed below.

On May 25, 1993, the Court of International Trade (CIT) in *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (CIT 1993), and *Federal Mogul Corporation and the Torrington Company v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (CIT 1993), decided that once an "all others" rate is established for a company it can only be changed through an administrative review. The Department has determined that in order to implement these decisions, it is appropriate to reinstate the "all others" rate from the LTFV investigation (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical errors or as a result of litigation)

in proceedings governed by antidumping duty orders.

In proceedings governed by antidumping findings, unless we are able to ascertain the "all others" rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the Department has determined that it is appropriate to adopt the "all others" rate established in the first final results of administrative review published by the Department (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical errors or as a result of litigation) for the purposes of establishing cash deposit rates in all current and future administrative reviews.

Because this proceeding is governed by an antidumping duty finding and we are unable to ascertain the "all others" rate from the Department of Treasury LTFV investigation, the Department has determined to apply the "all others" rate of 28.35 percent established in the first final results published by the Department (52 F.R. 32957, September 1, 1987).

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: September 13, 1995.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–25609 Filed 10–13–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [C-201-001]

#### Leather Wearing Apparel From Mexico; Partial Termination of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Termination of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of partial termination of countervailing duty administrative review and termination of new shipper countervailing duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 15, 1995, in response to a request from the Government of

Mexico (GOM), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on leather wearing apparel from Mexico for 115 producers, covering the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994 (60 FR 25885) (amended September 1, 1995; 60 FR 45697). We are now terminating that review for the 112 producers listed in the appendix hereto because the GOM is no longer interested in the review of these companies.

On June 1, 1995 (60 FR 28576), also in response to a request from the GOM, the Department initiated a new shipper review, under the same order, for Comercial de Artesanias, S.A. de C.V. The review covered the period November 1, 1994 through April 30, 1995. Because the GOM withdrew its request for review, we are now terminating this review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 16, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Albright or Cameron Cardozo, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2786.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On April 28, 1995, the Department received a request from the GOM for an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on leather wearing apparel from Mexico in accordance with § 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), covering the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994. On June 19, 1995, in order to comply with the requirements of the Department's *Interim Regulations* (May 11, 1995; 60 FR 25130), the GOM submitted an amended request for review which listed 115 companies. No other party requested a review of these companies. On May 15, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 25885) a notice of "Initiation of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review," which was amended on September 1, 1995 (60 FR 45967) to list the names of the companies requested to be reviewed.

On May 1, 1995, the Department received from the GOM a request for a new shipper review in accordance with § 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, under this countervailing duty order, to be conducted for Comercial de Artesanias, S.A. de C.V. On June 1, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 28576) a notice of

"Initiation of New Shipper Countervailing Duty Administrative Review" of Comercial de Artesanias, S.A., covering the period November 1, 1994 through April 30, 1995.

On September 6, 1995, the GOM attempted to withdraw its request for an administrative review of 111 companies listed in the appendix and its request for a new shipper review of Comercial de Artesanias, S.A. On September 21, 1995, the GOM attempted to withdraw its request for an administrative review of Exclusivos Baez, also listed in the appendix. The Department's regulations stipulate that the Secretary may permit a party that requests a review to withdraw the request not later than 90 days after the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. 19 CFR 355.22(a)(3)(1995). While the withdrawal deadline for the GOM's request of administrative and new shipper reviews expired in August, the regulation also provides that the Secretary may extend the time limit for withdrawal of a request if it is reasonable to do so.

Because no significant work has been completed on these reviews, extending the time limit for the GOM to withdraw its requests for reviews does not unduly burden the Department. Moreover, we have received no objections to terminating these reviews from other interested parties. Therefore, under the circumstances presented in these cases, we are waiving the 90-day requirement of section 355.22(a)(5). Accordingly, based on the GOM's withdrawals, we are terminating the 1994 administrative review with respect to the companies listed in the appendix and the new shipper review of Comercial de Artesanias, S.A.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR § 355.22(a)(5).

Dated: October 6, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.*

**Appendix**

Aeroenvios  
Aguilla Alvarez Juan Martin  
Alarcon Roman Pedro  
Alfredo Costuras Originales, S.A. de C.V.  
Alors  
Alvarez Contreras Gildardo  
Articulos Charros Y Vaqueros, S.A. de C.V.  
Articulos de Piel Chasser  
Articulos de Piel de Guadalajara, S.A. de C.V.  
Articulos de Piel Muca, S.A. de C.V.  
Arufe Gil Ma. Josefina  
Avila Lopez Ma. Teresa  
Bemisa, S.A. de C.V.  
Bocanegra Morales Rosa Isela  
Calzado Emege, S.A. de C.V.  
Comercial de Artesanias, S.A. de C.V.  
Capelli  
Carillo Castillo Agustin

Cia. Exportadora de Chapala, S.A. de C.V.  
Club Aurrera  
Collado Garza Manuel Fernando  
Confecciones en Piel Leather S.A. de C.V.  
Cornell Piel, S.A. de C.V.  
Creaciones Alcala  
Creaciones Cevis, S.A. de C.V.  
Creaciones Cozumel, S.A. de C.V.  
Creaciones de Esesarte  
Creaciones Kity Ku  
Creaciones Ma Elvi  
D'Vany  
Deitz Groswirte Gregoria  
Eugenio de Alba Hernandez  
Exclusive Design in Leather Felle, S de R.L.  
Exclusivos Baez  
Export. Mexic. de Art. Charros Y Vaqueros, S.A. de C.V.  
Exportadores Indios Verdes, S.A. de C.V.  
Fina Estampa  
Flores Martinez Ma. Azucena  
Frausto Avila Julia  
Gallardo Rocio  
Garcia Avila Enrique  
Garcia Gonzalez Antonia  
Garcia Gonzalez Juan Manuel  
Garcia Jose  
Geno D'Lucca  
Gil Garcia Benjamin  
Gonzalo de La Torre Jose de Jesus  
Gover  
Grupo Ticuan S.A. de C.V.  
Hardo Navarro Vincente  
Hernandez Gonzalez Lino Salvador  
Hernandez Herrera Jose de Jesus  
Hernandez Rodriguez Ma. Teresa de Jesus  
Hurtado Antonio  
Hurtado Vazquez Francisco Javier  
Importaciones Y Exportaciones Anaf, S.A. de C.V.  
Ind. en Piel de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.  
Lazo  
Lopez Avila J. Cruz  
Lorendano, S.A. de C.V.  
Lusomoda De Mexico, S.A. de C.V.  
Manufacturera California, S.A. de C.V.  
Manufacturera de Botas Tejas, S.A. de C.V.  
Manufacturera de Cintos, S.A. de C.V.  
Marcelinni, S.A. de C.V.  
Marco Antonio Sotelo Salazar  
Martinez Bautista Noe  
Martinez Castillo Roberto  
Martinez Fernandez Guillermo  
Maurizzio Moda Y Piel, S.A. de C.V.  
Melmex, S de R.L. de C.V.  
Mex Piel Export  
Mexican Legend  
Moda en Piel Le Sua, S.A. de C.V.  
Mon Real  
Monaco, S.A. de C.V.  
Mora Hernandez Ismael  
Morales Nernandez Bartolo  
Munoz Armas Federico  
Neca De Baja California, S.A. de C.V.  
Orginales Hechos A Mano, S.A. de C.V.  
Orozco Alviso J. Cruz  
Peleteria Jalisco de Baja California, S.A. de C.V.  
Penilla Adolpho  
Pieles Monroy, S.A. de C.V.  
Procopiel Exotica, S.A. de C.V.  
Propuctora de Articulos de Piel Gerpa  
Promociones La Fiesta, S.A. de C.V.  
Promotora de Modas Masculinas, S.A. de C.V.  
Quintana Aguirre Martin

Ramos Rosa  
 Rios Bueno Salvador  
 Rodriquez Jarez Jose Luis  
 Rodriquez Ortiz Guadalupe  
 Rougon Piel  
 Salceda Toledo Leonel  
 San Sebastian Curte, S.A. de C.V.  
 Serrano Robles Martin Humberto  
 Servicio Harley Davidson, S.A. de C.V.  
 Sidransky Marcus Alejandro  
 Sotelo Jose  
 Tapetei Tipicos, S.A. de C.V.  
 Torres Torres Juan Antonio  
 Transformadora Tuca, S.A. de C.V.  
 Tropico Arte Y Piel  
 United Parcel Service de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.  
 Vincente Haro Navarro  
 Vilches Mares Laura  
 Zaragoza Gutierrez Ricardo  
 Zedillo Lagos Teresa  
 John Trackunan George  
 Zuid de Mexico, S.A. de C.V.

[FR Doc. 95-25611 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **The University of Iowa, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

*Comments:* None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

*Docket Number:* 95-044. *Applicant:* The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242. *Instrument:* Laser Light Scattering Correlator and Monomode Fiber Optical Goniometer System. *Manufacturer:* ALV - Laser Vertriebgesellschaft mbH, Germany. *Intended Use:* See notice at 60 FR 33190, June 27, 1995. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides: (1) simultaneous measurements of dynamic light scattering and static light scattering, and (2) a monomode fiber optical collection system. *Advice Received From:* National Institutes of Health, September 14, 1995.

*Docket Number:* 95-064. *Applicant:* University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801. *Instrument:* Force and Moment Wind Tunnel Balance. *Manufacturer:* Aertect A.T.E. Ltd., United Kingdom. *Intended*

*Use:* See notice at 60 FR 40824, August 10, 1995. *Reasons:* The foreign instrument provides: (1) capability to change load ranges without removing load cells, (2) load cell and attack angle accuracy of 0.05% at full scale range and (3) computer - controlled angle of attack. *Advice Received From:* National Aeronautics and Space Administration, September 21, 1995.

The National Institutes of Health and National Aeronautics and Space Administration advise that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to either of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel  
 Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff  
 [FR Doc. 95-25605 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 3510-DS-F

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Office of the Secretary**

#### **Board of Visitors Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition University.

**ACTION:** Board of visitors meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The first meeting of The Defense Acquisition University (DAU) Board of Visitors (BoV) will be held at the Radisson Plaza Hotel, 5000 Seminary Road, Alexandria, Virginia on Monday, November 6, 1995 from 0830 until 1600. The purpose of this first meeting of the BoV is to familiarize the members with the Charter of the BoV, DAU operations, and strategic plans. The agenda will include: election of a BoV chairperson; briefing on the DAU structure within the Office of the President; and briefings by each of the schools within the DAU Consortium of Schools.

The meeting is open to the public; however, because of space limitations, allocation of seating will be made on a first-come, first-served basis. Persons desiring to attend the meeting should call Mrs. Joyce Reniere at (703) 805-5134.

Dated: October 10, 1995.

L.M. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 95-25534 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

### **National Assessment Governing Board; Education Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the Executive Committee of the National Assessment Governing Board. This notice also describes the functions of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the general public of their opportunity to attend.

**DATE:** October 31, 1995

**TIME:** 4 p.m. (et).

**LOCATION:** National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Ann Wilmer, Operations Officer, National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street NW., Washington, DC, 20002-4233, Telephone: (202) 357-6938.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Assessment Governing Board is established under section 412 of the National Education Statistics Act of 1994 (Title IV of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994).

The Board is established to formulate policy guidelines for the National Assessment of Educational Progress. The Board is responsible for selecting subject areas to be assessed, developing assessment objectives, identifying appropriate achievement goals for each grade and subject tested, and establishing standards and procedures for interstate and national comparisons.

The Executive Committee of the National Assessment Governing Board will meet October 31, 1995 from 4 p.m. until 5:30 P.M. Because this is a teleconference meeting, facilities will be provided so the public will have access to the Committee's deliberations. The purpose of this meeting to establish the agenda for the November 16-18, 1995 meeting of the Board scheduled to be held in Seattle, Washington.

Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the U.S. Department of